

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

4	1	١
١	U	1

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/088,042	07/11/2002		Murray Edward Bruce Leighton	THOM-0022	6575
23377	7590	03/11/2005		EXAM	INER
WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP			KIM, EUGENE LEE		
ONE LIBERTY PLACE, 46TH FLOOR 1650 MARKET STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PHILADEL				3721	

DATE MAILED: 03/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/088,042	LEIGHTON, MURRAY EDWARD BRUCE				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Eugene L Kim	3721				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a recon. The proof on the statutory minimum of thirt period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON attatute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>2/3/2005</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□						
<i>'—</i> ···	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and allowed.	thdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)						
Applicant may not request that any objection to						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the call to be the call to	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in A e priority documents have been cureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-943) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/088,042

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bois in view of Tomic as discussed in paragraph 2 of the last office action.

2. Applicant's arguments filed 3/7/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicants arguments regarding claims 1 and 7, the examiner notes that the claims do not recite what applicant contends. There is no limitation in the claim that the fastener is first attached to leave the fastener free for movement and then subsequently attached to the substrate to seal. There is no sequencing in the claims. In terms of the apparatus, Bois shows the means for attaching the fastener as claimed with sealing rollers 76. The sealing structure as claimed is shown with sealing jaws 82 in Bois. There is no limitation in the claims that recite that unattached region of the zipper is attached to the substrate. Examiner is interpreting the claims in a broad context.

In response to applicant's argument that the references can not be properly combined, the test for obviousness is not whether the features of a secondary reference may be bodily incorporated into the structure of the primary reference; nor is it that the claimed invention must be expressly suggested in any one or all of the references.

Rather, the test is what the combined teachings of the references would have suggested to those of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208

USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981). In this case, the motivation is in the secondary reference

Application/Control Number: 10/088,042

Art Unit: 3721

which teaches the concept of not sealing a portion of the zipper to ensure that the sealing flanges do not bond together during the sealing process.

3. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eugene L Kim whose telephone number is 571 272-4463. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday 8 a.m. to 6 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on 571 272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/088,042 Page 4

Art Unit: 3721

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Eugene L Kim Primary Examiner Art Unit 3721